

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04067 012009Z

11

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 /057 W

----- 042873

R 011750Z AUG 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2993

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 4067

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MPOL, NATO

SUBJ: DRC MEETING JULY 31, 1975

REF: A. USNATO 3653; B. STATE 173204

BEGIN SUMMARY: AT JULY 31 MEETING, DRC AGREED TO ISSUE NEW VERSION OF TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR) FOR GROUP ON FORCE DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS, REVISED TO REFLECT DELETIONS TO PARA 5 (C) PROPOSED IN REF B. REGARDING PARA 4 (C), IS WILL CONTINUE TO COMMUNICATE WITH OFFICES IN US DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE THROUGH USNATO. DRC WILL ADDRESS TOR (AS REVISED) AND FRAME OF REFERENCE (FOR) (AS IN DRC/N(75)16) IN SEPTEMBER. UNDER ANY OTHER BUSINESS, DRC ACCEPTED, IN PRINCIPLE, UK OFFER TO COMMITTEE TO VISIT REF BRUGGEN DURING WEEK OF SEP 29-OCT 2 AND SACLANT OFFER OF PRESENTATION TO 1976 DEFENSE PLANNING WORKSHOP ON "CONCEPTS OF DEFENSE AND DETERRENCE AT SEA."
END SUMMARY.

1. NATO FORCE PLANNING DATA BASE (NFPDB) - MR PUHL (HEAD OF CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 04067 012009Z

SYSTEMS ANALYSIS SECTION, IS) INTRODUCED DISCUSSION OF DRC/N(75)16 BY GIVING BRIEF HISTORY OF PROPOSAL. NETHERLANDS REP (CARSTEN) QUESTIONED BOTH NEED FOR A FRAME OF REFERENCE (FOR) AND ITS STATUS AS LEGAL DOCUMENT. PUHL POINTED OUT

AD HOC GROUP HAD FELT NEED FOR PAPER TO DESCRIBE OUTER LIMITS WITHIN WHICH DATA BASE WOULD BE MAINTAINED AND USED. PUHL EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT FOR NOT BE TOO GENERAL AND YET RETAIN FLEXIBILITY.

2. PER REF B, US REP (BADER) RESERVED ON ACCEPTING FOR, AND REQUESTED DELETION OF THAT PART OF TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR) PARA 5 (C) STARTING WITH "OR INDEPENDENTLY" AND RUNNING THROUGH END OF 5 (C) (I). WITH REGARD TO PARA 4 (C) OF TOR US REP POINTED OUT THAT IT WAS OUR UNDERSTANDING THE IS WOULD ADDRESS OFFICES IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE THROUGH US NATO.

3. PUHL RESPONDED THAT THE PURPOSE OF PARA 4 (C) WAS TO FACILITATE COMMUNICATION AND TO AVOID GETTING BOGGED DOWN IN TEDIOUS FORMAL EXCHANGES. ALTHOUGH DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS WERE FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE WITH EUROPEAN COUNTRIES, PUHL STATED IT WAS EASIER TO WORK WITH NORTH AMERICAN COUNTRIES THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE MISSIONS AND HE INTENDED TO CONTINUE THIS PRACTICE.

4. NETHERLANDS REP AND THE MILITARY COMMITTEE REP (COMMODORE GELUYKENS) SUPPORTED DELETION OF "OR INDEPENDENTLY" FROM PARA 5 (C) OF TOR. SHAPE REP (BGEN SCHWEITZER) ACCEPTED THE TOR AND FOR AS WRITTEN BUT WANTED TO LOOK MORE CLOSELY AT THE US AND MC COMMENTS.

5. NORWEGIAN REP (LEINE), UK REP (LEGGE) AND ITALIAN REP (RADM CAMPAGNANO) AGREED TO TOR AND FOR AS WRITTEN, FRG REP (BGEN SCHUNEMANN) APPROVED BOTH DOCUMENTS AS LONG AS THE FOR WAS CONSIDERED AS PART OF THE TOR. FRG REP ALSO STRESSED IMPORTANCE OF MAINTAINING CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA BASE AS PROPOSED IN PARA VI OF FOR. DANISH REP (ROSENTHAL) AGREED TO TOR AND FOR ON PERSONAL BASIS, BUT RESERVED FORMAL APPROVAL PENDING RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS FROM COPENHAGEN.

6. NETHERLAND REP STATED THE HAGUE FINDS FOR TOO GENERAL, AND FEELS IT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN TOR. THE DUTCH REP SUPPORTED CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 04067 012009Z

DELETION OF "OR INDEPENDENTLY" FROM PARA 5 (C) AND REQUESTED THAT PARA 2 OF TOR BE REVISED TO READ "IDENTIFY ALL IMPORTANT DATA ELEMENTS BASED ON INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED BY THE GROUP BEARING IN MIND THE CONSTRAINTS IMPOSED BY THE CONTENTS OF "NATIONS' DATA BASES." THE RATIONALE FOR THIS CHANGE WAS THAT IF DATA REQUIREMENTS WERE NOT WELL COORDINATED THEY COULD GENERATE NEED TO ESTABLISH EXTENSIVE REPORTING MACHINERY WITHIN GOVERNMENTS TO FEED DATA BASE.

7. CHAIRMAN HUMPHREYS POINTED OUT CHANGE WAS UNNECESSARY SINCE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY WOULD DECIDE ON THE NECESSARY DATA ELEMENTS. HUMPHREYS DID NOT WISH TO SPECIFY "APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY" AS THIS COULD VARY FROM CASE TO CASE.

8. IN RESPONSE TO SHAPE REP QUESTION THE CHAIRMAN POINTED OUT THAT FOR THE PRESENT THE NATO FORCE PLANNING DATA BASE (NFPDB) WOULD OPERATE IN PARALLEL WITH THE DPQ. AT SOME POINT IT MAY SUPPLANT THE DPQ (I.E., THERE MAY BE A COMPUTERIZED DPQ) BUT ONLY AFTER THE NFPDB HAS BEEN PROVEN OUT. THE PURPOSE OF THE SYSTEM WAS NOT TO CHANGE AMOUNT OF DATA AVAILABLE FOR USE BUT TO IMPROVE HANDLING AND FLOW.

9. SUMMING UP, HUMPHREYS STATED COMMITTEE HAD AGREED TO MAKE NO CHANGE TO PARA 2 OF TOR, OR TO PARA 4, RECOGNIZING, HOWEVER, US PREFERENCE TO HAVE REUESTS FUNNELED THROUGH THE MISSION. PARA 5 (C) WOULD BE CHANGED TO READ AS US REQUESTED, HOWEVER THE CHAIRMAN MADE A PARENTHETICAL STATEMENT THAT THE IS WOULD "JOLLY WELL DO IT ANYWAY" IF THEY SO DESIRED. FOR IS TO BE GENERAL STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTING TOR AND WILL NOT HAVE STAUS OF A LEGAL DOCUMENT. DRC WILL ADDRESS REVISED DOCUMENTS AGAIN IN SEPTEMBER. IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION, PUHL STATEED GROUP ON DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS IS SCHEDULED TO MEET AGAIN BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR.

10. IN CONVERSATION WITH MISSION OFFICER ON AUGUST 1, PUHL ASKED WHETHER US COULD ACCEPT PARA 5 (C) OF TOR WITH SIMPLE DELETION OF WORDS "OR INDEPENDENTLY," AND RETENTION OF PRESENT SUB PARA (I) AS WRITTEN. IF US CANNOT ACCEPT SUB PARA (I) AS WRITTEN, PUHL ASKED IF US COULD PROPOSE SUBSTITUTE LANGUAGE TO RETAIN THRUST OF SUB PARA, WITH ANY NECESSARY QUALIFICATIONS. ACTION REQUESTED. GUIDANCE AS TO CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 NATO 04067 012009Z

WHETHER US CAN ACCEPT PARA 5 (C) OF TOR WITH SIMPLE DELETION OF WORDS "OR INDEPENDENTLY" AND RETENTION OF PRESENT SUB PARA (I). IF NOT, DOES WASHINGTON WISH TO PROPOSE SUBSTITUTE LANGUAGE FOR PRESENT SUB PARA (I)?

11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS.

A. DRC VISIT TO RAF BRUGGEN. DRC ACCEPTED UK INVITATION FOR ONE-DAY VISIT TO RAF BRUGGEN IN LATTER PART OF WEEK OF SEP 29 - OCT 3. NETHERLANDS REP STATED THE HAGUE IS LOOKING AT POSSIBILITY OF ADDING A DRC VISIT TO SOME INSTALLATIONS IN THE NETHERLANDS FOLLOWING VISIT TO RAF BRUGGEN.

B. 1976 NATO DEFENSE PLANNING WORKSHOP. SACLANT REP (CAPTAIN MAYO) SAID THAT ALTHOUGH "CONCEPTS OF DEFENSE AND DETERRENCE AT SEA" WAS NO LONGER LISTED AS TOPIC FOR 1976 WORKSHOP, SACLANT WAS STILL OFFERING A PRESENTATION ON SUBJECT. CHAIRMAN HUMPHREYS ACCEPTED OFFER, IN PRINCIPLE, AND STATED THAT UPON RECEIPT OF OFFERS ON OTHER TOPICS, IS WOULD TAKE STOCK AND ATTEMPT TO CONSTRUCT MORE STRUCTURED PROGRAM.

C. DRC/N(75)12 - "MOBILIZATION AND FORCE EXPANSION PLANS AND POTENTIAL - A SUMMARY OF MEASURES WHICH MIGHT BE TAKEN IN TIMES OF TENSION TO AUGMENT THE FORCES IN THE AREAS OF ACE, ACLANT, AND ACCHAN." HUMPHREYS DREW ATTENTION TO STATEMENT

IN DOCUMENT REQUESTING DELEGATIONS TO VERIFY INFORMATION ON THEIR NATIONAL FORCES BY APRIL 30, 1975. IS HAD INTENDED TO PUBLISH UPDATED VERSION OF DOCUMENT AROUND END OF JULY 1975, BUT HAVING RECEIVED NO INFORMATION FROM DELEGATIONS NOW INTENDED TO DRAW FROM 1975 DPQ SUBMISSIONS AND PUBLISH UPDATE IN AUTUMN.

D. SHAPE FORCE PROPOSALS, 1977-1982. REFERRING TO RECENT EXAMINATION OF THESE PROPOSALS IN LONDON, UK REP NOTED THAT A NUMBER OF THEM ENJOINED UK TO UNDERTAKE STUDIES, SOMETIMES UNILATERALLY, SOMETIMES IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER NATIONS. UK HAD SOME DOUBT THAT FORCE PROPOSALS SHOULD BE USED TO GENERATE STUDIES, AND THOUGHT MNCS MIGHT PERHAPS REPHRASE FORCE PROPOSALS TO CALL FOR SPECIFIC ACTION, E.G., REPLACING OBSOLESCENT MATERIEL FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF STUDIES. HUMPHREYS SUPPORTED UK SUGGESTION AND ASKED MC AND MNC REPS TO TAKE NOT OF IT. STREATOR

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 01 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: buchandr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO04067
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750897/abbrzlhy.tel
Line Count: 181
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 3653; B. STATE 173204
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: buchandr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 30 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <16 OCT 2003 by buchandr>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: DRC MEETING JULY 31, 1975
TAGS: MPOL, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO USCINCEUR
USNMR SHAPE
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT
Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006